

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/556,837	11/15/2005	Dieter Ramsauer	135408-2033	3764
20999 FROMMER I	7590 01/12/2011 AWRENCE & HAUG	EXAMINER		
745 FIFTH A	VENUE- 10TH FL.		DELISLE, ROBERTA S	
NEW YORK, NY 10151			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3677	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/12/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/556,837	RAMSAUER, DIETER					
	Examiner	Art Unit					
	ROBERTA DELISLE	3677					

	HOBERTA DELISLE	36//	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 23 December 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE.	
 X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	, or other evidence, whith 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth i ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data- have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply original than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ite extension fee e action; or (2) a
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause
 They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or 	ter form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (f	PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	t canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an ex	planation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 32.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 34-62.			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	the face of the date of the control	Maria 26 A 20 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2	h
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fails e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attache	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Shane Bomar/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3676			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Regarding Claim 32, further consideration and search would be required since the additional elements added by amendment were not considered with the specified structure of the handle in claim 32.

Regarding Applicant's Arguments: Examiner respectfully disagrees. Applicant's arguments pertain to a "smooth inclided surface" as not being supported in the cited references. Since Applicant's arguments are directed to new limitation added by amending independent claim 32, further search and consideration is required to fully address the new limitations in combination with the other limitations claimed in independent claim 32.